2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. ADESA Phoenix, LLC was served a copy of the Complaint and Summons on February 28, 2011. A copy of the Summons is attached as Exhibit 3.
- 4. ADESA, Inc. was served a copy of the Complaint and Summons on February 28, 2011. A copy of the Summons is attached as Exhibit 4.
- 5. On March 2, 2011, Plaintiff filed the Affidavit of Service of Process for ADESA Phoenix. A copy of the Affidavit is attached as Exhibit 5.
- 6. On March 2, 2011, Plaintiff filed the Affidavit of Service of Process for ADESA, Inc. A copy of the Affidavit is attached as Exhibit 6.
- 7. On March 16, 2011, Plaintiff filed the Affidavit of Service of Process for KAR Auction Services, Inc. A copy of the Affidavit is attached as Exhibit 7. KAR Auction Services, Inc. was voluntarily dismissed. A copy of the Voluntary Dismissal is attached as Exhibit 8.
- 8. Removal in this matter is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), because it is being filed within thirty (30) days after receipt of the Complaint.
- 9. Defendant ADESA, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Indiana. When diversity is an issue, corporations, Like ADESA, Inc., are considered to be a citizen of the state where it is incorporated and the state that is its principle place of business. See Industrial Tectonics v. Aero Alloy, 912 F.2d 1090, 1092 (9th Cir. 1990). For diversity purposes ADESA, Inc. may be considered a citizen of Delaware or Indiana, but not Arizona.
- 10. ADESA Phoenix, LLC is a limited liability company whose sole member is ADESA New Jersey. ADESA New Jersey is a limited liability company whose sole member is ADESA, Inc., a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Indiana. When diversity is an issue, limited liability companies, like ADESA Phoenix, LLC, are to be treated like other unincorporated enterprises such that they are considered to have the same citizenship of their members, potentially including their member's See Carden v. Arkoma Associates, 494 U.S. 185, 195 (1990); Johnson v. Columbia Properties Anchorage, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006). For diversity

LAW OFFICES One Arizona Center, 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202 (602) 382-6000
LAW OF One Arizona Center, Phoenix, Arizon (602) 38;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

purposes ADESA Ph	noenix, LLC may b	be considered a	citizen of	Delaware or	Indiana,	bu
not Arizona.						

- 11. Plaintiffs are residents and citizens of Arizona. See Compl., Ex. 1.
- 12. This is a civil action of which this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and is one which may be removed to this Court by Defendants pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 13. While Plaintiffs' Complaint only alleges "an excess of \$10,000" in damages, Plaintiffs previously made a demand ("the Settlement Letter") upon the Defendants in the amount of \$450,000. Attached as Exhibit 9. The Ninth Circuit has held that "[a] settlement letter is relevant evidence of the amount in controversy. . ." Cohn v. Petsmart, Inc., 281 F.3d 837, 840 (9th Cir. 2002). While Defendants dispute that Plaintiffs are entitled to damages in excess of \$75,000, even if successful on their claims, the Settlement Letter is sufficient to give Defendants cause to remove.
- 14. Defendants filed a Notice of Removal in Arizona Superior Court, County of Maricopa, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit 10.

WHEREFORE, Defendants request that this Court assume full jurisdiction over the cause herein as provided by law.

- 3 -

DATED this 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2011.

#### SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

By s/ Amanda C. Sheridan Bradley W. Petersen Amanda C. Sheridan One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 Attorneys for Defendants ADÉSA Phoenix, LLC and ADESA, Inc **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

### I hereby certify that on March 28, 2011, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants: Mark W. Drutz Sharon Sargent-Flack Musgrove, Drutz & Kack, P.C. 1135 Iron Spring Road P.O. Box 2720 Prescott, AZ 86302 /s/ Veronnica Dolan

Mark W. Drutz, #006772 Sharon Sargent-Flack, #021590 2 COPY MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C. 3 1135 Iron Springs Road Post Office Box 2720 FEB 25 2011 4 Prescott, AZ 86302 Phone: (928) 445-5935 MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK 5 K. CROCKETT Fax: (928) 445-5980 DEPUTY CLERK 6 mdkpc@cableone.net Attorneys for Plaintiffs 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 10 ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR and JEANETTE CV2011-004271 11 TAYLOR, husband and wife, Case No. CV 12 **COMPLAINT** Plaintiffs. 13 (Tort-Motor Vehicle) ٧. 14 15 ADESA PHOENIX, LLC, a foreign LLC; ADESA, INC., a Delaware corporation; KAR 16 AUCTION SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation; ABC CORPORATIONS I-X: 17 XYZ PARTNERSHIPS I-X; JOHN DOES I-18 X; JANE DOES I-X, 19 Defendants. 20 21 Plaintiffs ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR and JEANETTE TAYLOR, husband and wife by 22 and through their attorneys, MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C., for their Complaint against 23 Defendants, allege as follows: 24 25 1. This Court has jurisdiction because the amount in controversy exceeds 26 \$10,000.00. Venue is proper because the acts, events and omissions described herein occurred in 27 the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 28

- 2. Plaintiffs ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR and JEANETTE TAYLOR are and were at all material times lawfully married and residents of the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
- 3. Upon information and belief, at all/times material to the allegations herein,

  Defendant ADESA PHOENIX, LLC, a foreign limited liability company, was authorized to do
  and/or doing business in Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 4. Upon information and belief, at all times material to the allegations herein,

  Defendant ADESA, INC., a Delaware corporation, was authorized to do and/or doing business in

  Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 5. Upon information and belief, at all times material to the allegations herein, Defendant ADESA, INC. was owned by KAR AUCTION SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation.
- 6. Upon information and belief, at all material times, including the time of the subject accident on December 16, 2009, the subject vehicle which was involved in the accident out of which this action arises, was owned by Defendants.
- 7. Upon further information and belief, the car auction which Plaintiff ALLEN
  DAVID TAYLOR was attending on December 16, 2009 was promoted, sponsored and/or staged by Defendants.
- 8. Upon further information and belief, at the time of the accident in question on December 16, 2009, an employee of Defendants, whose identity is presently unknown, was acting in the course and scope of his/her employment as an employee driver of Defendants, and was entrusted with and operating the subject vehicle with the full and unqualified permission and consent of Defendants. In addition, upon information and belief, because the employee was

operating the subject vehicle during the course and scope of his/her employment and was performing a service in furtherance of Defendants' business, Defendants are vicariously liable for any torts committed by their employee during such time and for any resulting harm caused to others such as Plaintiffs under the doctrine of *respondeat superior*.

- 9. Defendants John Does I-X, Jane Does I-X, ABC Corporations I-X and XYZ Partnerships I-X are fictitious names of persons, corporations, partnerships and/or business entities whose true names are not known at this time, and Plaintiffs may seek leave of the Court to reflect such true names upon discovery of same. Defendants John Does I-X and Jane Does I-X, upon information and belief, are residents of the State of Arizona, who, if married, committed the acts and/or incurred obligations hereinafter described on behalf of their respective martial communities which are, therefore, responsible for same. Defendants ABC Corporations I-X and XYZ Partnerships I-X are corporations, partnerships, and/or other business entities authorized to do and are doing business in the State of Arizona. At all times said fictitious defendants, and each of them, were agents, servants and/or employees of the remaining co-defendants, and each was, at all times material hereto, acting within the course and scope of such agency, service and/or employment.
- 10. On December 16, 2009, Defendants' employee negligently drove and operated the subject vehicle so as to cause it to collide into/and with Plaintiff ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR, causing him to sustain bodily injuries and damages. The collision (hereafter "the subject accident") occurred in the crosswalk in front of Defendants' auction building located at 400 North Beck Avenue, Chandler, Arizona, inside the City of Chandler, County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.

11. Immediately before and at the time of the above-described accident, Defendants' employee owed a duty to Plaintiffs to exercise reasonable care in operating the subject vehicle and he/she breached that duty by operating the subject vehicle as he/she did at the place and time in question.

- 12. The acts and omissions of Defendants' employee in causing the subject accident, and particularly in violating A.R.S. §§ 28-792 and 28-794, constitutes negligence <u>per se</u>.

  Defendants' employee failed to yield the right-of-way to Plaintiff ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR as he was crossing the roadway in a crosswalk.
- 13. Plaintiff ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR was free of any comparative fault or negligence with respect to the subject accident or his accident-related injuries and damages.
- 14. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' employee's negligence in causing the subject accident, Plaintiff ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR suffered physical injuries, pain and suffering, emotional upset/shock, discomfort, annoyance, inconvenience, anguish, anxiety, loss of enjoyment of life's pleasures and activities, and has incurred in excess of \$12,000.00 in medical expenses.
- 15. In addition, as a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence in causing the accident, Plaintiff ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR will sustain prospective damages for future pain and suffering; incur reasonable and necessary expenses in receiving medical treatment, invasive surgery, therapy or rehabilitation in an amount which will be established at trial; and incur "hedonic" damages due to his loss of enjoyment of life's activities in the future.
- 16. Furthermore, as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' employee's negligence, Plaintiff JEANETTE TAYLOR has sustained a loss of consortium with her husband

Plaintiff ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR, due to Mr. Taylor's accident-related injuries and damages and the adverse affect/impact that his injures and condition have had on their marital relationship.

17. The exact amount of Plaintiffs' damages are not yet known but will exceed the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- a. For an award of compensatory damages in an amount that is just and reasonable, which amount will be established at trial;
  - b. For Plaintiffs' costs incurred herein; and
  - c. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this day of February, 2011.

MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C.

Ву

Mark W. Drutz

Sharon Sargent-Flack Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1 M 2 Sh M 3 11 4 Pr

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mark W. Drutz, #006772 Sharon Sargent-Flack, #021590

MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C.

1135 Iron Springs Road

Post Office Box 2720

Prescott, AZ 86302 Phone: (928) 445-5935

Fax: (928) 445-5980

mdkpc@cableone.net

Attorneys for Plaintiffs



FEB 25 2011



### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

#### IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR and JEANETTE TAYLOR, husband and wife,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ADESA PHOENIX, LLC, a foreign LLC; ADESA, INC., a Delaware corporation; KAR AUCTION SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation; ABC CORPORATIONS I-X; XYZ PARTNERSHIPS I-X; JOHN DOES I-X; JANE DOES I-X,

Defendants.

Case No. CV

CV2011-004271

# CERTIFICATE OF NO COMPULSORY ARBITRATION

The undersigned certifies that he knows the dollar limits and any other limitations set forth by the local rules of practice for the Maricopa County Superior Court, and further certifies that this case is **not** subject to compulsory arbitration, as provided by Rules 72 through 76 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

26

27

28

III

DATED this 2 day of February, 2011.

MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C.

Mark W. Drutz

Sharon Sargent-Flack Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1	Mark W. Drutz, #006772				
2	Sharon Sargent-Flack, #021590				
	MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C.				
3	1135 Iron Springs Road Post Office Box 2720				
4	Prescott, AZ 86302				
5	Phone: (928) 445-5935				
	Fax: (928) 445-5980				
6	mdkpc@cableone.net				
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT (	OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA			
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA				
10		21.0044 004054			
11	ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR and JEANETTE TAYLOR, husband and wife,	Case No. CV CV 2011-004271			
12	1A 1 LOK, husband and write,	Case No. C v			
	Plaintiffs,	SUMMONS			
13					
14	v.				
15	ADESA PHOENIX, LLC, a foreign LLC;	IF YOU WANT THE ADVICE OF A			
	ADESA, INC., a Delaware corporation; KAR	LAWYER, YOU MAY WISH TO CONTACT THE LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE AT			
16	AUCTION SERVICES, INC., a Delaware	1 602-257-4434 OR ON-LINE AT			
17	corporation; ABC CORPORATIONS I-X;	WWW.LAWYERFINDERS.ORG. LRS IS SPONSORED BY THE MARICOPA			
18	XYZ PARTNERSHIPS I-X; JOHN DOES I-X; JANE DOES I-X,	COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION			
-	A, JAINE DOES I-A,				
19	Defendants.				
20		•			
21	THE STATE OF A DISCOVA TO A DUSA D	Landa III C			
22	THE STATE OF ARIZONA TO: ADESA P	ration Service Company			
		Royal Palm Road, Suite J			
23	Phoenix. A	AZ 85021			
24					
25	A Complaint has been filed against you.				
	11 Complaint has occir filed against you.				
26					
27					
28					

1 If you do not want a Judgment taken against you for the relief demanded in the 2 accompanying Complaint, you must file an Answer in writing in the Superior Court. A copy of 3 the Answer must also be mailed to the Plaintiffs' attorneys: 4 Mark W. Drutz, Esq. 5 Sharon Sargent-Flack, Esq. 6 MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C. 1135 Iron Springs Road 7 P.O. Box 2720 Prescott, Arizona 86302-2720 8 9 The Answer must be filed within TWENTY DAYS, exclusive of the day of service, if 10 served within the State of Arizona, or within THIRTY DAYS, exclusive of the day of service, if 11 served outside the State of Arizona. 12 THIS IS A LEGAL DOCUMENT. IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND ITS 13 14 CONSEQUENCES, YOU SHOULD SEEK THE ADVICE OF AN ATTORNEY. 15 REQUESTS FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION FOR PERSONS WITH 16 DISABILITIES MUST BE MADE TO THE COURT BY PARTIES AT LEAST THREE 17 WORKING DAYS IN ADVANCE OF A SCHEDULED COURT PROCEEDING. 18 19 GIVEN under my hand and the Seal of the Superior Court in and for the County of 20 Maricopa this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2011. 21 MICHAEL K. JEANS, 22 **CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT** 23 24 Deputy Clerk FEB 25 2011 25 26 MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK K. CROCKETT DEPUTY CLERK 27 28

4. :. S

MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK RECEIVED CCC #5
DOCUMENT PERSTORY 1 Mark W. Drutz, #006772 Sharon Sargent-Flack, #021590 2 MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C. 11 MAR -2 翻 3:45 1135 Iron Springs Road 3 Post Office Box 2720 FILED 4 BY & Had DEP. Prescott, AZ 86302 Phone: (928) 445-5935 5 Fax: (928) 445-5980 PRIGINAL 6 mdkpc@cableone.net Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 10 ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR and JEANETTE 11 TAYLOR, husband and wife, Case No. CV CV2011-004271 12 Plaintiffs, **SUMMONS** 13 ٧. 14 15 IF YOU WANT THE ADVICE OF A ADESA PHOENIX, LLC, a foreign LLC; LAWYER, YOU MAY WISH TO CONTACT ADESA, INC., a Delaware corporation; KAR THE LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE AT 16 AUCTION SERVICES, INC., a Delaware 602-257-4434 OR ON-LINE AT WWW.LAVYERFINDERS.ORG. LRS IS corporation; ABC CORPORATIONS I-X; 17 SPONSORED BY THE MARICOPA XYZ PARTNERSHIPS I-X; JOHN DOES I-**COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION** 18 X; JANE DOES I-X, 19 Defendants. 20 21 THE STATE OF ARIZONA TO: ADESA, Inc. 22 c/o Corporation Service Company 2338 West Royal Palm Road, Suite J 23 Phoenix, AZ 85021 24 25 A Complaint has been filed against you. 26 27 28

1 If you do not want a Judgment taken against you for the relief demanded in the 2 accompanying Complaint, you must file an Answer in writing in the Superior Court. A copy of 3 the Answer must also be mailed to the Plaintiffs' attorneys: 4 Mark W. Drutz, Esq. 5 Sharon Sargent-Flack, Esq. 6 MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C. 1135 Iron Springs Road 7 P.O. Box 2720 Prescott, Arizona 86302-2720 8 9 The Answer must be filed within TWENTY DAYS, exclusive of the day of service, if 10 served within the State of Arizona, or within THIRTY DAYS, exclusive of the day of service, if 11 served outside the State of Arizona. 12 THIS IS A LEGAL DOCUMENT. IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND ITS 13 14 CONSEQUENCES, YOU SHOULD SEEK THE ADVICE OF AN ATTORNEY. 15 REQUESTS FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION FOR PERSONS WITH 16 DISABILITIES MUST BE MADE TO THE COURT BY PARTIES AT LEAST THREE 17 WORKING DAYS IN ADVANCE OF A SCHEDULED COURT PROCEEDING. 18 19 GIVEN under my hand and the Seal of the Superior Court in and for the County of 20 FEB 25 2011 , 2011. Maricopa this day of 21 MICHAEL K. JEANS, 22 **CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR CO** 23 24 25 MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK 26 27 28

### Liddy Legal Support Services

PO Box 2007, Phoenix, AZ 85001 63 E. Pennington St., #102, Tucson, AZ 85702 Phoenix 602-297-0676 | Tucson 520-628-2824

Client File # Taylor v. ADESA

Account # 0167 Invoice # 143490 Liddy # 46210-1 MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK RECEIVED CCC #6
DOCUMENT FOSITORY

11 MAR -2 PM 3: 47

FILED
BY & Hack DEP.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR, et al.,				
vs	Plaintiff(s	· •	CERTIFICATE ( BY PRIVATE PRO Case No. CV20	CESS SERVER
ADESA PHOENIX, LLC, et al.,	Defendant(s	i).	ORIGI[	
ENTITY/PERSON TO BE SER	VED: ADESA	Phoenix, LLC c/o Corporation	on Service Company,	Statutory Agent
PLACE OF SERVICE:	2338 W	. Royal Palm Road, Suite J, P	hoenix, AZ 85021	
DATE OF SERVICE: On the	28th day o	f February , 2011 at	10:40 AM	County Maricopa
PERSONAL SERVICE	Left a copaccept se	py with a person authorized to		al place of abode, I left a copy on of suitable age and discretion erein.
Name of Person Served and Relation	nchin/Title	Ashley McAuliffe, Service of	of Process Coordinator	, appointed and authorized to
Name of Ferson Served and Related	ousuij# 1 tite	receive and accept service in	the State of Arizona	by Corporation Service Company.
on02/25/2011we re-	ceived the foll	owing documents for servi	ce:	
Summons, Complaint and Certificate	of No Compuls	sory Arbitration		
Received from MUSGROVE, DRU PROCESS SERVER: Joseph T. B		P.C., ( Mark W. Drutz #006	772)	
The undersigned states: That I am	a registered pri	ivate process server in the c	ounty of Maricopa a	nd am an Officer of the Court.
SIGNATURE OF PROCESS SERVE	R:			Date: 3/1/2011
Item	Amount			
Service of Process	\$16.00			
Mileage	\$27.50			······································
Doc. Prep Fee	\$10.00	I dec	lare under penalty of i	D# 90-0533870 perjury that the foregoing is true as executed on this date.

Total \$53.50

#### Case 2:11-cv-00575-ROS Document 1 Filed 03/28/11 Page 23 of 50

### Liddy Legal Support Services

PO Box 2007, Phoenix, AZ 85001 63 E. Pennington St., #102, Tucson, AZ 85702 Phoenix 602-297-0676 | Tucson 520-628-2824



Client File # Taylor v. ADESA

Account # 0167

Invoice # 143530

Liddy # 46210-2

11 MAR -2 👺 3:45

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

ALLEN DAVID TAY	LOR, et al.,				
vs	Plaintiff	f(s),	BY PRIV	VATE PR	E OF SERVICE ROCESS SERVER (2011-004271
ADESA PHOENIX, L	LC, et al., Defendant	(s).			
ENTITY/PERSON T	O BE SERVED: ADES	A, Inc. c/o Co	rporation Service Compan	ıy, Statutor	y Agent
PLACE OF SERVIC	<b>CE:</b> 2338 V	W. Royal Palm	Road, Suite J, Phoenix, A	XZ 85021	
DATE OF SERVICE	E: On the 28th day	of February	, <u>2011</u> at <u>10:40</u>	_AM	County Maricopa
PERSONAL S	L	opy with a per service.	rson authorized to		sual place of abode, I left a copy erson of suitable age and discretion therein.
Name of Danson Conved	l and Relationship/Title	Ashley McA	Auliffe, Service of Process	Coordinat	tor, appointed and authorized to
Name of Person Served	and Relationship/Title	receive and	accept service in the State	of Arizon	a by Corporation Service Compan
on02/25/2011	we received the fo	llowing docu	ments for service:		
Summons, Complaint an	d Certificate of No Compu	lsory Arbitrati	on		
Received from MUSGR	ROVE, DRUTZ & KACK	, P.C., ( Mark	( W. Drutz #006772 )	7	
PROCESS SERVER: _	Joseph T. Basso #5027				
The undersigned states:	That I am a registered p	rivate process	s server in the county of l	Maricopa	and am an Officer of the Court.
SIGNATURE OF PROC	ESS SERVER:				Date: 3/1/2011
Item	Amount				
Service of Process	\$16.00	٠			
Doc. Prep Fee	\$10.00				
		•		Tr.	FT5# 00-0533870

Tax ID# 90-0533870

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and was executed on this date.

1	Mark W. Drutz, #006772					
2	Sharon Sargent-Flack, #021590 MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C.					
3	1135 Iron Springs Road					
4	Post Office Box 2720 Prescott, AZ 86302					
5	Phone: (928) 445-5935 Fax: (928) 445-5980					
6	mdkpc@cableone.net					
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	,				
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT (	OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA				
9	IN AND FOR THE CO	UNTY OF MARICOPA				
10	ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR and JEANETTE					
11	TAYLOR, husband and wife,	Case No. CV 2011-004271				
12	Plaintiffs,	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL				
13	2					
14	V.					
15	ADESA PHOENIX, LLC, a foreign LLC; ADESA, INC., a Delaware corporation; KAR					
16	AUCTION SERVICES, INC., a Delaware					
17	corporation; ABC CORPORATIONS I-X; XYZ PARTNERSHIPS I-X; JOHN DOES I-					
18	X; JANE DOES I-X,					
19	Defendants.					
20						
21	STATE OF ARIZONA )					
22	) ss					
23	County of Yavapai )					
24	I Mark W. Drutz, on my eath denose and say that:					
25						
26	1. I am Plaintiffs Allen David Taylo	or's and Jeanette Taylor's attorney.				
27	2. Defendant KAR Auction Service	es, Inc.'s Statutory Agent is known to be located				
28	outside the State of Arizona.					

- 3. One copy of the Summons, Complaint and Certificate of No Compulsory Arbitration were mailed Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested on March 2, 2011 to the Statutory Agent's address in Delaware.
- 4. The Summons, Complaint and Certificate of No Compulsory Arbitration were in fact received by Defendant KAR Auction Services' Statutory Agent, a copy of the Return Receipt is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
- 5. The Defendant KAR Auction Services' Statutory Agent received the pleadings on March 7, 2011 and I received the Return Receipt on March 8, 2011.

DATED this  $\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\text{day of March, 2011.}}$ 

Mark W. Drutz

Subscribed, sworn to and acknowledged before me this 15 day of March, 2011.

Raren Blile Notary Public

My Commission Expires:



102505-02-14-1540

<b>≥</b>	ED STATES POSTAL SERVICE		First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid USPS Permit No. G-10
USGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C	• Sender: Please print your now with the second of the sec	name, address, and ZIP+4 in Drutz & Kael 2) 20 AZ 86302-a	, PC
	Taylor 10962-1		
	المساليالسالياليا		

Domestic Return Receipt

3 Form 3811, February 2004

1	Mark W. Drutz, #006772					
2	Sharon Sargent-Flack, #021590					
3	MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C. 1135 Iron Springs Road					
4	Post Office Box 2720					
	Prescott, AZ 86302 Phone: (928) 445-5935					
5	Fax: (928) 445-5980					
6	mdkpc@cableone.net Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
7	Attorneys for Flaminis					
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT (	OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA				
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA					
10	ALLEN DAVID TAYLOR and JEANETTE					
11	TAYLOR, husband and wife,	Case No. CV 2011-004271				
12	Plaintiffs,	RULE 41(a) NOTICE OF DISMISSAL				
13	riamuns,	WITHOUT PREJUDICE				
14	V.	(The Honorable Judge Mangum)				
15	ADESA PHOENIX, LLC, a foreign LLC;	(The Honorable Judge Manguin)				
16	ADESA, INC., a Delaware corporation; KAR					
17	AUCTION SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation; ABC CORPORATIONS I-X;					
	XYZ PARTNERSHIPS I-X; JOHN DOES I-					
18	X; JANE DOES I-X,					
19	Defendants.					
20						
21	Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1) of the Arizona I	Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs give notice that				
22	d	estion Comices Incomithent maindies. No arrange				
23	they are voluntarily dismissing Defendant KAR Al	action Services, Inc. without prejudice. No answer				
24	having been filed by Defendant KAR, all parties	shall bear their own attorneys' fees and costs. No				
25	order of the Court is necessary or requested as the	dismissal without prejudice is effective on filing				
26	under Rule 41(a)(1).					
27	111					
28						
	£\$					

DATED this 28th day of March, 2011.  MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C.  By/s/ Mark W. Drutz	
MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C.  By /s/ Mark W. Drutz Mark W. Drutz Sharon Sargent-Flack Attorneys for Plaintiffs  Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
By/s/ Mark W. Drutz  By/s/ Mark W. Drutz  Mark W. Drutz  Sharon Sargent-Flack Attorneys for Plaintiffs  8 9 10 11	
By/s/ Mark W. Drutz  By/s/ Mark W. Drutz  Mark W. Drutz  Sharon Sargent-Flack Attorneys for Plaintiffs  8 9 10 11	
By/s/ Mark W. Drutz Mark W. Drutz Sharon Sargent-Flack Attorneys for Plaintiffs  8 9 10 11	
Mark W. Drutz Sharon Sargent-Flack Attorneys for Plaintiffs  8 9 10 11	
Sharon Sargent-Flack Attorneys for Plaintiffs  8 9 10 11	
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  8 9 10 11	
9 10 11	
10 11	
11	
ii	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
2	

**Filing Details** 

Filing ID: 834640Authorized Date: 3/28/2011 9:58:46 AM

Filer's Information Victoria Joan Azbill

Email: vazbill2@cableone.net

Musgrove, Drutz & Kack, P.C.

Firm Information 1135 Iron Springs Road

Prescott, AZ 86302

Phone: 928 445-5935

**Case Number** CV2011-004271

Case Summary Taylor Vs. Taylor, Et.Al. / Mangum, J

Attorney Information Bar No.: 006772 - State: AZ - Email: mdkpc@cableon.net

Filing Fee \$0

#### **Documents Attached to Filing**

#### **Document Title**

**Document Type** 

Rule 41(a) Notice of Dismissal Without Prejudice

Notice Of Dismissal

If you have any questions about your filing, please contact us:

Clerk of Court Address 201 West Jefferson eFiling Support Phone 602-506-2565

Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Clerk of Court Web Site

http://clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov

### MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

JAMES B. MUSGROVE MARK W. DRUTZ THOMAS P. KACK SHARON SARGENT-FLACK P. ERIC ENGLISH BRIAN G. PURSELL POST OFFICE BOX 2720 PRESCOTT, ARIZONA 86302-2720

> 1135 IRON SPRINGS ROAD PRESCOTT, ARIZONA 86305

TELEPHONE (928) 445-5935 (928) 445-5980 (FAX) (928) 775-9565

GRANT K. McGREGOR (1959-2005)

September 21, 2010

File No. 10962-1

Ms. Doris Robinson Sr. Claims Processor Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc. 13801 Riverport Drive, Suite 501 Maryland Heights, MO 63043

Re:

Our Clients:

Allen David Taylor and Jeanette Taylor, husband and wife

Your Insured:

KAR Services/ADESA

Claim Number: Date of Loss:

003626-000854-**AB**-01 December 16, 2009

Dear Ms. Robinson:

As you are aware, our office represents Allen David Taylor (d.o.b. 12/16/46) and Jeanette Taylor, husband and wife, in connection with injuries and damages they sustained as result of the subject automobile/pedestrian accident caused by an employee of your insured, ADESA Auto Auction, on December 16, 2009. We would like to make a reasonable effort to resolve this matter, and therefore we are submitting the following settlement proposal.

The background of the subject accident and our clients' claims against your insured are as follows:

#### LIABILITY

On December 16, 2009, at approximately 9:00 a.m. Mr. Taylor was on his way to the ADESA auction building located in Chandler, Arizona. Mr. Taylor was approximately six (6) to eight (8) feet in the crosswalk walking toward the building when he was suddenly struck by

This letter is an offer to compromise/settle a liability claim for valuable consideration and, as such, <u>shall not</u> be introduced or considered to be admissible into evidence in any court or arbitration proceeding. Under Rule 408, Ariz. R. Evid., "[e]vidence of 1) furnishing or offering, or 2) accepting or offering to accept, a valuable consideration in compromising or attempting to compromise a claim that is disputed as to validity or amount, is not admissible to prove liability for or invalidity of the claim or its amount.



Ms. Doris Robinson Sr. Claims Processor Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc. September 21, 2010 Page 2

what appeared to be an SUV that was being operated by one of ADESA's employees. The impact to Mr. Taylor's left leg was so forceful he lost his balance and his left leg buckled under the bumper. Mr. Taylor screamed for the driver to stop. One of your other employees. Sandi. saw the incident and was screaming at the driver to stop. When the vehicle stopped, Mr. Taylor was able to get out from under the front of the car. He witnessed Sandi talking to the female driver, who apparently only spoke Spanish. Mr. Taylor made his way inside the building to report the incident.

Mr. Taylor believes that he spoke with Security and a police officer about the incident, but he was never given a copy of the police report.

A copy of the statement prepared by Mr. Taylor is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

We believe that ADESA's employee violated at least two statutes on the day in question. First, he violated A.R.S. § 28-794, which provides in pertinent part as follows:

Notwithstanding the provisions of this chapter every driver of a vehicle shall:

- 1. Exercise due care to avoid colliding with any pedestrian on any roadway.
- 2. Give warning by sounding the horn when necessary.
- 3. Exercise proper precaution on observing a child or a confused or incapacitated person on the roadway.

Under the pertinent provision of this statute, ADESA's employee was required to exercise due care to avoid hitting Mr. Taylor. She did not exercise due care in operating ADESA's vehicle as she did at the time in question to avoid hitting Mr. Taylor, who was in a designated crosswalk and therefore she violated this statute.

ADESA's employee also violated A.R.S. § 28-792(A) in causing the accident, which provides:

Except as provided in § 28-793, subsection B, if traffic control signals are not in place or are not in operation, the driver of a vehicle shall yield the right-of-way, slowing down or stopping if need be in order to yield, to a pedestrian crossing the roadway within a crosswalk when the pedestrian is on the half of the roadway on

Ms. Doris Robinson Sr. Claims Processor Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc. September 21, 2010 Page 3

which the vehicle is traveling or when the pedestrian is approaching so closely from the opposite half of the roadway as to be in danger. A pedestrian shall not suddenly leave any curb or other place of safety and walk or run into the path of a vehicle that is so close that it is impossible for the driver to yield.

This statute required ADESA's employee to yield the right-of-way to Mr. Taylor who was crossing the roadway within a crosswalk on the half of the roadway on which ADESA's employee was traveling. Additionally, Mr. Taylor did not suddenly leave the curb and walk into the path of the vehicle when it was so close that it was impossible for the driver to yield.

ADESA's employee's violation of these statutes constitutes *negligence per se*. This is evident from the Arizona Supreme Court's decision in <u>Young Candy & Tobacco Co. v. Montoya</u>, 91 Ariz. 363, 372 P.2d 703 (1962), wherein our Supreme Court held that a motorist's failure to comply with a state statute pertaining to the operation of motor vehicles constitutes negligence per se. This is also in accord with Negligence Instruction 1. entitled "Violation Of Statute (Negligence Per Se)," which is set forth in the fourth edition of the Revised Arizona Jury Instructions (Civil).

Mr. Taylor sustained multiple injuries as a result of this accident for which he has undergone medical treatment, pain and suffering, anguish and anxiety. Mr. Taylor has been advised that he will eventually have to undergo a total left knee replacement.

#### **INJURIES**

After reporting the incident, Mr. Taylor went to urgent care at Desert Foothills Medical Center where he was evaluated by Martin S. Chattman, M.D. The following history was recorded:

Walking across crosswalk at Adessa Auto Auction. Car hit him at 5 mph on [left] hip & knee. Held onto bumper until she stopped. Security came and so did police. They iced him, pt. refused ambulance & came here. Car was ... on top of him. Pt. states pain along [left] side from waist down - hip & knee worst. Knee was medially displaced according to patient. 8/10 for hip & knee on pain scale.

Examination revealed significant pain in Mr. Taylor's left buttock, decreased ROM with significant medial swelling and extreme tenderness in his left knee, and pain with range of

Ms. Doris Robinson Sr. Claims Processor Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc. September 21, 2010 Page 4

motion of his left ankle. Dr. Chattman was of the opinion that Mr. Taylor was suffering from a contusion to his left hip and left leg.

On the same date, December 16, 2009, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended x-ray of his lumbosacral spine, left hip, left knee and left ankle at SimonMed, pursuant to a referral from Dr. Chattman. David Kassen, M.D. was of the impression that the x-rays revealed mild degenerative changes in Mr. Taylor's left knee and lumbar spine.

On January 6, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended MRI scan of his lower left extremity at SimonMed. According to Penny Krich, M.D., the scan revealed very early arthrosis of the hip joint.

On January 13, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended MRI scan of his left leg at SimonMed, pursuant to a referral from Dr. Chattman. According to Dr. Krich, the scan revealed:

- 1. Findings compatible with a chronic complete or near complete anterior cruciate ligament tear.
  - 2. Complex displaced tearing of the medial meniscus.
  - Nondisplaced, obliquely oriented tear involving the posterior horn of the lateral meniscus where high signal extends to the inferior articular surface.
  - 4. Tricompartmental degenerative osteoarthrosis. There are changes of Grade III and Grade IV chondromalacia within the medial compartment. Grade II changes predominate within the lateral compartment. Grade I and Grade II changes are noted within the patellofemoral compartment. Evidence of patellar maltracking.
  - 5. Small joint effusion and Baker's cyst with evidence of synovitis.

On the same date, January 13, 2010, Dr. Chattman's office referred Mr. Taylor to Dr. Gerald Yacobucci for evaluation.

On January 21, 2010, Mr. Taylor was seen by Gerald N. Yacobucci, M.D. at The Orthopedic Clinic ("TOCA"), pursuant to a referral from Dr. Chattman. Dr. Yacobucci recorded the following history:

This is a 62-year-old male who presents to the office today for evaluation of an injury to his left knee and his left lower back as a result of an injury he sustained in a car-pedestrian incident. He was apparently in a crosswalk and was struck on the outer aspect of his leg by a car sustaining the immediate onset of low back, left

hip, and left knee pain. ... He has had persistent complaints over the last month with minimal improvement using rest, cold, heat, and compression wrap. His symptoms are pain at an 8/10 on a VAS scale and associated numbness, weakness, swelling, loss of motion, and joint popping. The patient has pain in the left low back, left buttock, radiating down the leg to the lateral outer aspect of his left calf. He is also complaining of primarily medial knee discomfort and swelling. The patient has completed ultrasound evaluation of the abdomen and pelvis. The report is available for my review. He has also completed MRI evaluation of the left knee. The films and report are available for my review.

Examination revealed tenderness at the lumbosacral junction on the left, tenderness in the sciatic notch with firm deep palpation, positive Lachman test on the left knee with moderate amount of effusion and tenderness along the medial joint line. Dr. Yacobucci reviewed Mr. Taylor's x-rays and MRI scan and opined that the findings were consistent with ACL rupture, medial meniscus tear, and advanced medial compartment arthrosis. Mr. Taylor's left knee was prepped and aspirated. Dr. Yacobucci recommended that Mr. Taylor undergo a course of physical therapy for his lumbosacral spine and left knee pain. Dr. Yacobucci also recommended that Mr. Taylor undergo an MRI scan of his lumbosacral spine to rule out the possibility of acute injury.

On February 2, 2010, Mr. Taylor was evaluated by Drew Giardina, PT, SCS, STC/L, CSCS at Total Sports Therapy, pursuant to a referral by Dr. Yacobucci. Mr. Giardina recorded the following history:

This 63 year old male presents today for complaining of L. knee pain. Dave states that on 12/16/09 while walking through a cross walk he wa[s] hit by a car. His left knee went under the bumper and created L. knee pain and back pain. He ultimately had a MRI that shows 2 meniscus tears, an ACL tear and OA in the L. knee. Dr. Yaco suggested a month of therapy and a brace to see if they can avoid a TKA, but that ultimately he will need a knee replacement. Dave states that he is very active and prior to the accident he did not have problems w/ his knee or back. Dr. Yaco drained the knee at last appt. and that has helped.

Mr. Giardina performed an examination and assessed Mr. Taylor's condition as follows:

... Dave has a badly degenerated knee w/ some acute trauma. I will be trying to progress the motion and strength as tolerated to dec. the stress during fxn and gait. I told him that w/ therapy and brace that after a month if the knee is not any better

he should look into seeing the Dr. that Dr. Yaco recommended as w/o the ACL the knee will usually get much worse rather quickly. At this point prognosis is only fair considering the OA present.

Mr. Giardina recommended a course of treatment consisting of manual therapy, E-stim and therapeutic exercise.

On February 4, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended MRI scan of his lumbar spine at SimonMed. According to David Lefkowitz, M.D., the scan revealed mild to moderate disc degeneration.

From February 5, 2010 through February 11, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended physical therapy at Total Sports Therapy on four (4) occasions.

On February 15, 2010, Mr. Taylor was seen by Christopher W. Huston, M.D. at TOCA, pursuant to a referral from Dr. Yacobucci. Dr. Huston recorded the following history:

Mr. Taylor is a 63-year-old-male who presents at the request of Dr. Yacobucci for consultation regarding back pain. David reports onset of symptoms on 12/06/2009 [sic]. He was at the ADSSA [sic] auto auction. He was crossing a crosswalk when he was alledgedly [sic] hit by another car going 10 mph. He was able to grab on the grill as he went underneath the car and it hit him on the left side. He had immediate onset of back pain, pain in his left buttock area, left knee and ankle. ... He saw Dr. Yacobucci for his knee, who recommended he follow up with me for his back. The pain is a 6 to 8/10 intensity pain, of a throbbing, tingling, aching, sharp, pulling, burning, shooting quality.

Examination revealed pain on trunk flexion and extension in the lumbar and buttock regions, tenderness to palpation of the paraspinals bony level L3 and at L5-S1 spinous process, tenderness to palpation in the left upper buttock, knee pain, guarded internal hip rotation and unable to be performed due to knee pain. Mr. Taylor was unable to do voluntary sustained hip flexion due to pain. Dr. Huston reviewed Mr. Taylor's x-rays and MRI scans and was of the opinion that Mr. Taylor was suffering from subacute mechanical back pain after being hit by a car with a general diagnosis of a lumbar strain, contusion and lumbar disc disease at L4-5, L5-S1 with an annular fissure in the L5 disc. Dr. Huston recommended that Mr. Taylor undergo a course of physical therapy and recommended to Mr. Taylor that he have his knee treated before proceeding with any

interventional procedures in regards to his lumbar spine if he continued to have persistent low back pain.

From February 16, 2010 through February 25, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended physical therapy treatments at Total Sports Therapy on five (5) occasions. During the February 25, 2010 visit Mr. Taylor reported that he had to be careful when rotating his knee or it would give out on him.

On February 25, 2010, Mr. Taylor returned to TOCA for a follow-up with Dr. Yacobucci for his left knee. It was reported that Mr. Taylor had completed one month of therapy. Dr. Yacobucci recommended that Mr. Taylor continue with therapy and provided a prescription for a custom Count'R-Force derotation brace for his left knee. Dr. Yacobucci also spoke with Mr. Taylor about a consultation regarding the possibility of knee replacement.

From March 1, 2010 through March 3, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended physical therapy at Total Sports Therapy on three (3) occasions.

On March 4, 2010, Mr. Taylor was seen by Sherwood K. Duhon, M.D. at TOCA, pursuant to a referral from Dr. Yacobucci. Dr. Duhon recorded the following history:

David is an internal referral from Dr. Yacobucci. He is a 63-year-old gentleman that presents today with the complaint of left knee pain. The patient states that he has tried multiple treatments in the past including injections. He has currently been in a therapy program for the past two months. He reports having three or more MRIs on the left knee. He continues to experience discomfort and pain. He rates his pain a 3-9/10 on a VAS scale. He also experiences symptoms such as popping, catching, locking, grinding, swelling, stiffness, instability, weakness, tingling, and pain at night. His problem is aggravated by planting and turning and somewhat relieved with rest. He has tried a host of different conservative treatments. ...

Examination revealed tenderness over the medial joint line, minimal crepitus with motion, mildly palpable osteophytes and a positive Lachman test. Review of Mr. Taylor's x-rays showed mild to moderate degenerative changes throughout his left knee. Dr. Duhon was of the impression that Mr. Taylor was suffering from degenerative joint disease of the left knee and discussed treatment options with Mr. Taylor including a left total knee replacement.

On March 5, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended CT scan of his abdomen and pelvis at SimonMed, pursuant to a referral from Dr. Chattman. Due to the discomfort that Mr. Taylor was experiencing, he was unable to complete the examination. Dr. Krich was of the opinion that the scan revealed early arthrosis of the hip joint.

On March 8, 2010, Dr. Chattman's nurse spoke with Mr. Taylor, who advised her that he had just gotten the brace for his left knee, that he was continuing with physical therapy and that Dr. Yacobucci was recommending that he undergo a left knee replacement.

On March 11, 2010, Mr. Taylor was seen at TOCA by Matthew Cocking, PA-C, who recorded the following:

The patient presents to the office today for evaluation of his left knee. He has the opportunity to obtain his custom unloader brace. He also has obtained a consultation with Dr. Duhon for a possible total joint arthroplasty. The patient is in favor of pursuing continued conservative care prior to having his joint replacement carried out.

Examination revealed medial joint line tenderness with minimal crepitus with passive range of motion, positive Lachman and positive McMurray sign. Mr. Cocking recommended that Mr. Taylor continue with physical therapy and that he continue using his knee brace.

From March 4, 2010 through March 25, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended physical therapy at Total Sports Therapy on twelve (12) occasions.

On March 29, 2010, Mr. Taylor was seen by Dr. Chattman, who recorded that Mr. Taylor had fallen on March 26, 2010 and struck his right chest and right shoulder. Mr. Taylor also reported that he had been in terrible pain since the December 16, 2009 accident.

From March 29, 2010 through April 14, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended physical therapy at Total Sports Therapy on eight (8) occasions.

On April 16, 2010, Mr. Taylor returned to Dr. Chattman's office with complaints of severe right rib pain. Examination revealed that Mr. Taylor's right chest was very tender. Dr. Chattman ordered an x-ray of Mr. Taylor's chest and right ribs and a CT scan of Mr. Taylor's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Mr. Taylor has advised that his left knee gave out, which caused him to fall.

chest. Dr. Chattman was of the opinion that Mr. Taylor was suffering from a chest contusion and placed Mr. Taylor in a rib belt. On this date, Mr. Taylor underwent an x-ray of his chest, which according to David Kassen, M.D., revealed no acute cardiopulmonary changes.

On April 20, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended MRI scan of his left shoulder due to pain and limited mobility since the December 16, 2009 accident. He also underwent the recommended CT scan of his chest. According to Dr. Krich, the MRI scan of his left shoulder revealed:

- 1. Nondisplaced degenerative-appearing tearing involving the inferior aspects of the labrum with a small paralabral cyst extending inferiorly from this area.
- 2. Mild osteoarthritis of the acromioclavicular joint. Os acromiale. Type-II mildly anterolaterally downsloping acromion with a small subacromial spur contributes to impingement. Mild reactive thickening and fluid distention of the subacromial/subdeltoid bursa.
- 3. Mild teninosis of the rotator cuff without evidence of a high-grade partial or full-thickness rotator cuff tear.

According to Cynthia Goralnik, M.D., the chest CT scan revealed no definite rib fractures.

On April 26, 2010, Mr. Taylor was seen by Dr. Chattman in a follow-up visit. Mr. Taylor reported that his right ribs were still extremely painful and examination revealed that his right chest was very tender.

On May 4, 2010, Mr. Taylor was evaluated by Matthew Seidel, M.D. for a second opinion regarding his left knee. Dr. Seidel recorded a history, reviewed the x-rays and MRI scans and performed an examination. Dr. Seidel set forth the following plan:

This 63-year-old male with a history of injury to the knee was [here] for consultation regarding knee pain. Raidographs show underlying osteoarthritis of the medial compartment and MRI shows meniscal tear and ACL tear. He is fairly comfortable in the offloader brace which he wears. We had long discussion regarding the indications for knee replacement. At this point I believe the patient should continue nonoperative measures as long as he is comfortable. At some point he may find that the brace and/or anti-inflammatories did not provide enough relief and may return to discuss total knee replacement further at that time.

On May 17, 2010, Mr. Taylor underwent the recommended physical therapy at Total Sports Therapy. He reported that he had missed his therapy due to the pain he was suffering from his right rib injury.

On May 20, 2010, Mr. Taylor returned to Dr. Yacobucci's office for a follow-up visit. Mr. Taylor reported that he was still experiencing medial knee discomfort, that he was using his knee brace with reasonably good results and that his associated symptoms were catching, popping, grinding, swelling, stiffness, instability and weakness in his left knee. Examination revealed mild varus alignment, tenderness along the medial joint line and atrophy of 1+. Dr. Yacobucci assessed Mr. Taylor's condition as degenerative joint disease and medial compartment overload syndrome. Dr. Yacobucci advised Mr. Taylor to continue using his knee brace and to return when his symptoms exacerbated again at which point they would consider a course of Visco supplementation therapy.

On August 2, 2010, undersigned counsel forwarded a letter to Dr. Yacobucci requesting that he set forth his professional medical opinions concerning the injury Mr. Taylor sustained to his left knee. On August 10, 2010. Dr. Yacobucci responded as follows:

I have had a chance to review, in detail, all the specifics included within the medical record summary, as well as the details of those records pertaining to Mr. Taylor's care at my office. Having done that, I am enclosing the following responses to your six specific questions located at the end of your letter.

Response #1: I am of the opinion, to a reasonable degree of medical probability, that the accident in which Mr. Taylor was involved on December 16, 2009 caused the left ACL rupture and medial meniscus tear.

Response #2: I am not of the opinion that the accident of 12/16/09 caused advanced medial compartment arthrosis to become symptomatic. I am of the opinion that the incident of 12/16/09 caused the injuries listed above (AC< rupture and medial meniscus tear) which, in turn, caused Mr. Taylor's left knee to become symptomatic (pain, swelling, and weakness). This necessitated the medical treatment that he incurred.

Response #3: As stated in Response #1, it is my opinion that the ACL rupture and medial meniscus tear did not predate the accident but, instead, were caused by

the accident of 12/16/09. These, therefore, necessitated the required medical treatment which he incurred directly as a result of the incident of 12/16/09.

Response #4: As a result of the ACL rupture and medial meniscus tear, it is my opinion that Mr. Taylor would ultimately require knee replacement somewhat earlier than if these injuries had not occurred. Due to the loss of meniscal function (cushioning of the medial compartment) and the loss of ACL function (stability of the entire knee joint), it is apparent that Mr. Taylor's left knee would undergo degenerative change on a more rapid timeline than would, otherwise, occur. In my estimation, the need for knee replacement would probably be accelerated to a point roughly five years earlier than had these injuries not occurred. The cost of knee replacement surgery (ballpark estimate) is \$30,000, inclusive of the surgical fee, the facility fee, the anesthesia fee, and the physical therapy fee.<sup>3</sup>

Response #5: I do believe that the course of medical treatment for the injuries suffered in the motor vehicle accident of 12/16/09 was medically necessary and causally related to the accident.

Response #6: I do believe that Mr. Taylor has a ratable impairment attributable to the injuries sustained in the accident under the Sixth Edition of the AMA Guides to Permanent Impairment of Function. The impairment rating is as follows: using the knee regional grid for lower extremity impairments on Page 509, the diagnostic criteria for meniscal injury is noted to produce a 2% impairment rating for meniscal tear. In addition, on the following page, the diagnostic criteria for cruciate ligament injury, mild laxity, produces a default impairment rating of 10%. Using the combined values chart on Page 604, Appendix A, a total lower extremity rating of 11% is assigned.

Enclosed as Exhibit "2" is a copy of Dr. Yacobucci's August 10, 2010 letter.

Mr. Taylor is continuing to receive medical treatment for his accident-related injuries. He continues to wear his knee brace and works out at the Freedom Fitness Center with a personal trainer. He has resigned himself to the fact that in the future he will have to undergo the left knee

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Based upon our prior experience, we believe that Dr. Yacobucci's estimate as to the cost of future surgery is exceedingly conservative and the cost would be closer to \$70,000.

replacement surgery. Accordingly, we are enclosing copies of the following medical records for your review:

Desert Foothills Medical Center		Exhibit "3"
SimonMed		Exhibit "4"
The Orthopedic Clinic Association		Exhibit "5"
Total Sports Therapy	•	Exhibit "6"
Mallin & Seidel Orthopaedic Oncology		Exhibit "7"

### MEDICAL EXPENSES

As a direct and proximate result of ADESA's employee's negligence in causing the accident on December 16, 2009, Mr. Taylor has incurred the following medical expenses:

Desert Foothills Medical Center	\$	1,827.00
(Exhibit "8")		
SimonMed		4,006.00
(Exhibit "9")		
The Orthopedic Clinic Association		4,108.84
(Exhibit "10")		
Total Sports Therapy		2,275.00
(Exhibit "11")		
Mallin & Seidel Orthopaedic		416.00
(Exhibit "12")	_	

Total Medical Expenses: \$ 12,632.84

Due to his knee injury, Mr. Taylor has incurred expenses in the sum of \$855.00 at Freedom Fitness for the services of a personal trainer to help him with strengthening exercises.

#### **EVALUATION**

Mr. Taylor's injuries and damages were caused by ADESA's employee's negligence. The enclosed medical records document Mr. Taylor's injuries and misery were directly and proximately caused by the accident. His injuries to his left side, including his left shoulder, left buttock, left hip, left knee and left ankle, have caused him to suffer pain and symptomatology on a daily basis and have required him to wear an unloader brace on his left knee. Enclosed as Exhibit "13" are color

photographs of the unloader brace that Mr. Taylor must now wear on a daily basis. His treatment was very conservative and his medical expenses could have been much higher.

Prior to the accident, Mr. Taylor was very active and enjoyed participating in weekend sports and riding horses. The accident has changed all of that. Mr. Taylor must be very careful how he moves his left knee as it will give out causing him to fall. He is attempting to use conservative treatments for his left knee, but is realistic about the fact that in the future he will need to undergo a total knee replacement. Until the time of the surgery, he will have to wear the unloader brace and suffer from weakness and pain in his left knee. There are risks and uncertainties associated with the invasive surgery. What Mr. Taylor does know is that he will be plagued with left knee issues for the rest of his life. Mr. Taylor has a present life expectancy of 16.45 years, according to the National Center for Health Statistics.<sup>4</sup>

Please note a few facts material to your evaluation. As you know, Arizona follows what is called the "eggshell" doctrine which provides that a defendant takes a plaintiff in the condition he finds him. This case is no exception. The x-rays and MRI scans revealed that Mr. Taylor has degenerative disc and joint disease in his spine and left knee. However, he was not actively seeking medical treatment for his left knee or spine prior to the accident on December 16, 2009. Moreover, Dr. Yacobucci has opined that the December 16, 2009 accident proximately caused the ACL rupture and medial meniscus tear in Mr. Taylor's left knee.

In the event we are not able to settle this matter and are required to proceed to trial, we will ask the Court to instruct the jury in accordance with RAJI (Civil) 4th Personal Injury Damages 2 with regards to Mr. Taylor's damages as follows:

## Pre-Existing Condition, Unusually Susceptible Plaintiff

Plaintiff is not entitled to compensation for any physical or emotional condition that pre-existed the fault of Defendant. However, if Plaintiff had any pre-existing physical or emotional condition that was aggravated or made worse by Defendant's fault, you must decide the full amount of money that will reasonably and fairly compensate Plaintiff for that aggravation or worsening.

Vital Statistics of the United States, 1987, Vol. II., mortality, Part A. Section 6--Life Tables, page 11, Table 6-3. Washington: Public Health Services, 1990. (DHHS Publication No. (PHS) 90-1101.)

You must decide the full amount of money that will reasonably and fairly compensate Plaintiff for all damages caused by Defendant, even if Plaintiff was more susceptible to injury than a normally healthy person would have been, and even if a normally healthy person would not have suffered similar injury.

RAJI (Civil) 4th Personal Injury Damages 2 (Exhibit "14" hereto).

According to Dr. Yacobucci, the December 16, 2009 accident will require Mr. Taylor to undergo a total left knee replacement five (5) years earlier than if the accident had not occurred.

Mr. and Mrs. Taylor are entitled to receive the full amount of money that will reasonably and fairly compensate them for the injuries to Mr. Taylor's left knee and back, both of which will undoubtedly require future medical treatment. Mr. and Mrs. Taylor will seek compensation for the following elements of damages proved by the evidence to have resulted from the fault of ADESA's employee on December 16, 2009:

- (1) The nature, extent, and duration of the injury.
- (2) The pain, discomfort, suffering, disability, disfigurement, anxiety already experienced, and reasonably probable to be experienced in the future as a result of the injury.
- (3) Reasonable expenses of necessary medical care, treatment, and services rendered, and reasonably probable to be incurred in the future.
- (5) Loss of love, care, affection, companionship, and other pleasures of the marital relationship.
- (6) Loss of enjoyment of life, that is, the participation in life's activities to the quality and extent normally enjoyed before the injury.

<u>See</u> Revised Arizona Jury Instruction (Civil) 4th Personal Injury Damages 1, attached hereto as Exhibit "15".

We would like to resolve this matter if possible. However, in order to do so it is imperative that Mr. and Mrs. Taylor be adequately and fairly compensated consistent with the foregoing measure of damages. Mr. Taylor is clearly entitled to be compensated for his injuries, impairment, pain and suffering, anguish, loss of enjoyment of life's activities and past and future medical expenses related to the accident. Mrs. Taylor is clearly entitled to be compensated for her lost of

consortium with Mr. Taylor due to his accident-related injuries. <u>See RAJI (Civil) 4th Personal Injury Damages Instruction No. 1.</u>

Based on the foregoing, Mr. and Mrs. Taylor have authorized our office to settle their claims against your insured for the sum of \$450,000. With these terms in mind, our clients are willing to settle all their claims against your insured in consideration of their execution of an appropriate release of liability. This offer will expire at 5:00 p.m. on October 5, 2010.

Sincerely,

MUSGROVE, DRUTZ & KACK, 13.C

y... Mark W. Drutz

Sharon Sargent-Flack

MWD/kbc Enclosures

cc: Mr. and Mrs. Taylor

# **EXHIBIT 10**

# Case 2:11-cv-00575-ROS Document 1 Filed 03/28/11 Page 50 of 50

Snell & Wilmer  LLP.  LAW OFFICES  One Arizona Center, 400 E. Van Buren (602) 382-6000	1	DATED this 28 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2011.			
	2	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.			
	3				
	4	By <u>/s/ Amanda Sheridan</u> Bradley W. Petersen			
	5	By /s/ Amanda Sheridan Bradley W. Petersen Amanda Sheridan One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 Attorneys for Defendants ADESA PHOENIX, LLC and ADESA, INC.			
	6	400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202			
	7	Attorneys for Defendants ADESA PHOENIX, LLC and ADESA, INC.			
	8				
	9				
	10				
	11				
	12				
	000				
	385.600 385.600				
	15 (602)				
	<u>ਵ</u> ੈ 16				
	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28				